



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
2 NAVY ANNEX  
WASHINGTON DC 20370-5100

TRG  
Docket No: 3893-99  
9 March 2000

[REDACTED]

Dear [REDACTED]

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to the provisions of title 10 of the United States Code section 1552.

A three-member panel of the Board for Correction of Naval Records, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 7 March 2000. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

After careful and conscientious consideration of the entire record, the Board found that the evidence submitted was insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice.

The Board found that you enlisted in the Navy on 10 June 1992 at age 20. The record is incomplete, however, it appears that you served in a satisfactory manner for almost three years. On 21 April 1995 you were placed on one year of limited duty following surgery on your shoulder. The final performance evaluation is not filed in the record. However, the record does show that on 9 June 1996, you acknowledged that the commanding officer had not recommended you for reenlistment and an RE-4 reenlistment code would be assigned. You were honorably discharged on 9 June 1996. At that time you were assigned an RE-4 reenlistment code.

In reaching its decision the Board noted that you have been informed that the last performance evaluation is missing, but you have not provided a copy to the Board. Therefore, the Board believed that the last performance evaluation was adverse and you were not recommended for reenlistment in the Navy. The Board concluded that the reenlistment code was proper as assigned and no change is warranted.

Accordingly, your application has been denied. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request.

It is regretted that the circumstances of your case are such that favorable action cannot be taken. You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new and material evidence or other matter not previously considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

W. DEAN PFEIFFER  
Executive Director